

(BONUS) Exercise List: Proving convergence of the Stochastic Gradient Descent for smooth and convex functions.

Robert M. Gower

October 15, 2024

1 Introduction

Consider the problem

$$w^* \in \arg \min_w \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(w) \right), \quad (1)$$

where we assume that $f(w)$ is μ -strongly quasi-convex

$$f(w^*) \geq f(w) + \langle w^* - w, \nabla f(w) \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \|w - w^*\|^2, \quad (2)$$

and each f_i is convex and L_i -smooth

$$f_i(w + h) \leq f_i(w) + \langle \nabla f_i(w), h \rangle + \frac{L_i}{2} \|h\|^2, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3)$$

Here we will provide a modern proof of the convergence of the SGD algorithm

$$w^{t+1} = w^t - \gamma^t \nabla f_{i_t}(w^t), \quad \text{where } i_t \sim \frac{1}{n}. \quad (4)$$

The result we will prove is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Assume f is μ -quasi-strongly convex and the f_i 's are convex and L_i -smooth. Let $L_{\max} = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} L_i$ and let

$$\sigma^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \|\nabla f_i(w^*)\|^2. \quad (5)$$

Choose $\gamma^t = \gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2L_{\max}}]$ for all t . Then the iterates of SGD given by (4) satisfy:

$$\mathbb{E} \|w^t - w^*\|^2 \leq (1 - \gamma\mu)^t \|w^0 - w^*\|^2 + \frac{2\gamma\sigma^2}{\mu}. \quad (6)$$

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We will now give a modern proof of the convergence of SGD.

Ex. 1 — Let $\mathbb{E}_t[\cdot] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}[\cdot | w^t]$ and consider the t th iteration of the SGD method (4). Show that

$$\mathbb{E}_t [\nabla f_{i_t}(w^t)] = \nabla f(w^t).$$

Ex. 2 — Let $\mathbb{E}_t[\cdot] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}[\cdot | w^t]$ be the expectation conditioned on w^t . Using a step of SGD (4) show that

$$\mathbb{E}_t [\|w^{t+1} - w^*\|^2] = \|w^t - w^*\|^2 - 2\gamma \langle w^t - w^*, \nabla f(w^t) \rangle + \gamma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \|\nabla f_i(w^t)\|^2. \quad (7)$$

Ex. 3 — Now we need to bound the term $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \|\nabla f_i(w^t)\|^2$ to continue the proof. We break this into the following steps.

Part I

Using that each f_i is L_i -smooth and convex and using Lemma A.1 in the appendix show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2nL_i} \|\nabla f_i(w) - \nabla f_i(w^*)\|_2^2 \leq f(w) - f(w^*). \quad (9)$$

Hint: Remember that $\nabla f(w^*) = 0$.

Now let $L_{\max} = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} L_i$ and conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \|\nabla f_i(w) - \nabla f_i(w^*)\|_2^2 \leq 2L_{\max}(f(w) - f(w^*)). \quad (10)$$

Part II

Using (10) and Definition 5 show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \|\nabla f_i(w)\|^2 \leq 4L_{\max}(f(w) - f(w^*)) + 2\sigma^2. \quad (11)$$

Ex. 4 — Using (11) together with (7) and the strong quasi-convexity (2) of $f(w)$ show that

$$\mathbb{E}_t [\|w^{t+1} - w^*\|^2] \leq (1 - \mu\gamma)\|w^t - w^*\|^2 + 2\gamma(2\gamma L_{\max} - 1)(f(w^t) - f(w^*)) + 2\sigma^2\gamma^2. \quad (15)$$

Ex. 5 — Using that $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2L_{\max}}]$ conclude the proof by taking expectation again, and unrolling the recurrence.

Ex. 6 — BONUS importance sampling: Let $i_t \sim p_i$ in the SGD update (4), where $p_i > 0$ are probabilities with $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$. What should the p_i 's be so that SGD has the fastest convergence?

3 Decreasing step-sizes

Based on Theorem 1.1 we can introduce a decreasing stepsize.

Theorem 3.1 (Decreasing stepsizes). Let f be μ -strongly quasi-convex and each f_i be L_i -smooth and convex. Let $\mathcal{K} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L_{\max}/\mu$ and

$$\gamma^t = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2L_{\max}} & \text{for } t \leq 4\lceil\mathcal{K}\rceil \\ \frac{2t+1}{(t+1)^2\mu} & \text{for } t > 4\lceil\mathcal{K}\rceil. \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

If $t \geq 4\lceil\mathcal{K}\rceil$, then SGD iterates given by (4) satisfy:

$$\mathbb{E}\|w^t - w^*\|^2 \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^2} \frac{8}{t} + \frac{16}{e^2} \frac{\lceil\mathcal{K}\rceil^2}{t^2} \|w^0 - w^*\|^2. \quad (19)$$

Proof. Let $\gamma_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{2t+1}{(t+1)^2\mu}$ and let t^* be an integer that satisfies $\gamma_{t^*} \leq \frac{1}{2L_{\max}}$. In particular this holds for

$$t^* \geq \lceil 4\mathcal{K} - 1 \rceil.$$

Note that γ_t is decreasing in t and consequently $\gamma_t \leq \frac{1}{2L_{\max}}$ for all $t \geq t^*$. This in turn guarantees that (6) holds for all $t \geq t^*$ with γ_t in place of γ , that is

$$\mathbb{E}\|r^{t+1}\|^2 \leq \frac{t^2}{(t+1)^2} \mathbb{E}\|r^t\|^2 + \frac{2\sigma^2}{\mu^2} \frac{(2t+1)^2}{(t+1)^4}. \quad (20)$$

Multiplying both sides by $(t+1)^2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (t+1)^2 \mathbb{E}\|r^{t+1}\|^2 &\leq t^2 \mathbb{E}\|r^t\|^2 + \frac{2\sigma^2}{\mu^2} \left(\frac{2t+1}{t+1}\right)^2 \\ &\leq t^2 \mathbb{E}\|r^t\|^2 + \frac{8\sigma^2}{\mu^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality holds because $\frac{2t+1}{t+1} < 2$. Rearranging and summing from $j = t^* \dots t$ we obtain:

$$\sum_{j=t^*}^t [(j+1)^2 \mathbb{E}\|r^{j+1}\|^2 - j^2 \mathbb{E}\|r^j\|^2] \leq \sum_{j=t^*}^t \frac{8\sigma^2}{\mu^2}. \quad (21)$$

Using telescopic cancellation gives

$$(t+1)^2 \mathbb{E}\|r^{t+1}\|^2 \leq (t^*)^2 \mathbb{E}\|r^{t^*}\|^2 + \frac{8\sigma^2(t-t^*)}{\mu^2}.$$

Dividing the above by $(t+1)^2$ gives

$$\mathbb{E}\|r^{t+1}\|^2 \leq \frac{(t^*)^2}{(t+1)^2} \mathbb{E}\|r^{t^*}\|^2 + \frac{8\sigma^2(t-t^*)}{\mu^2(t+1)^2}. \quad (22)$$

For $t \leq t^*$ we have that (6) holds, which combined with (22), gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|r^{t+1}\|^2 &\leq \frac{(t^*)^2}{(t+1)^2} \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{2L_{\max}}\right)^{t^*} \|r^0\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^2(t+1)^2} \left(8(t-t^*) + \frac{(t^*)^2}{\mathcal{K}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Choosing t^* that minimizes the second line of the above gives $t^* = 4\lceil\mathcal{K}\rceil$, which when inserted into (23) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\|r^{t+1}\|^2 &\leq \frac{16\lceil\mathcal{K}\rceil^2}{(t+1)^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\mathcal{K}}\right)^{4\lceil\mathcal{K}\rceil} \|r^0\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\sigma^2 8(t - 2\lceil\mathcal{K}\rceil)}{\mu^2 (t+1)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{16\lceil\mathcal{K}\rceil^2}{e^2(t+1)^2} \|r^0\|^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^2} \frac{8}{t+1},\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

where we have used that $(1 - \frac{1}{2x})^{4x} \leq e^{-2}$ for all $x \geq 1$. \square

A Appendix: Auxiliary smooth and convex lemma

As a consequence of the f_i 's being smooth and convex we have that f is also smooth and convex. In particular f is convex since it is a convex combination of the f_i 's. This gives us the following useful lemma.

Lemma A.1. If f is both L -smooth

$$f(z) \leq f(w) + \langle \nabla f(w), z - w \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|z - w\|_2^2\tag{25}$$

and convex

$$f(z) \geq f(y) + \langle \nabla f(y), z - y \rangle,\tag{26}$$

then we have that

$$f(y) - f(w) \leq \langle \nabla f(y), y - w \rangle - \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(w)\|_2^2.\tag{27}$$

Proof. To prove (27), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}f(y) - f(w) &= f(y) - f(z) + f(z) - f(w) \\ &\stackrel{(26)+(25)}{\leq} \langle \nabla f(y), y - z \rangle + \langle \nabla f(w), z - w \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|z - w\|_2^2.\end{aligned}$$

To get the tightest upper bound on the right hand side, we can minimize the right hand side in z , which gives

$$z = w - \frac{1}{L}(\nabla f(w) - \nabla f(y)).\tag{28}$$

Substituting this in gives

$$\begin{aligned}f(y) - f(w) &= \left\langle \nabla f(y), y - w + \frac{1}{L}(\nabla f(w) - \nabla f(y)) \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{L} \langle \nabla f(w), \nabla f(w) - \nabla f(y) \rangle + \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(w) - \nabla f(y)\|_2^2 \\ &= \langle \nabla f(y), y - w \rangle - \frac{1}{L} \|\nabla f(w) - \nabla f(y)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(w) - \nabla f(y)\|_2^2 \\ &= \langle \nabla f(y), y - w \rangle - \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(w) - \nabla f(y)\|_2^2. \quad \square\end{aligned}$$